

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIAN G. HOLTKAMP,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOZILLA FOUNDATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:24-cv-01285-JWH-  
ADSx

**ORDER GRANTING MOZILLA  
FOUNDATION'S *EX PARTE*  
APPLICATION FOR ORDER  
CONTINUING RULE 16(B)  
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

1 Having considered Defendant Mozilla Foundation's *Ex Parte* Application  
2 For Order Continuing Rule 16(b) Scheduling Conference, and good cause  
3 appearing therefor, the Court hereby **ORDERS** as follows:

4 1. *Ex Parte* relief is appropriate and necessary, because a regularly  
5 noticed motion could not be heard until after the current deadlines in the Court's  
6 Scheduling Order (Rule 26(f) conference to be conducted August 2, 2024; Joint  
7 Rule 26(f) Report to be filed August 9, 2024; Rule 16(b) Scheduling Conference to  
8 be held August 23, 2024).


9 2. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 16(b)(2), that there is good cause to  
10 delay entry of a Scheduling Order, and to postpone until after the Motion to  
11 Dismiss is decided, a Rule 16(b) Scheduling Conference and the parties' Rule 26(f)  
12 conference and report.

13 3. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant Mozilla Foundation's *Ex Parte*  
14 Application for Order Continuing Rule 16(b) Scheduling Conference is  
15 **GRANTED**.

16 4. The Rule 16(b) Scheduling Conference is **CONTINUED** to  
17 September 20, 2024, at 11:00 a.m.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19  
20 Dated: July 31, 2024

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 John W. Holcomb  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28